$$\frac{D_{\rm CH_2}}{D_{\rm CH_2}} = \frac{(n-1)k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}}{(n-1)k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}+1}$$
(1)

where D equals the normalized integration value and n equals the number of protons available for abstraction. Thus $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ is found to be $3.35.^{16}$ All of the data are consistent with the loss of a proton from C-9 of 3 and with the intermediacy of the α -terpinyl cation in limonene biosynthesis. The use of this spectral technique provides great simplicity in determining the regiospecificity of proton abstraction as well as in calculating the KIE without the use of labeled substrates or enzyme isolation.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by NIH Grant GM 08521-27. Grateful thanks are owed to Dr. Cheves T. Walling for his useful discussions on KIE's. Our thanks also go to David Bar-Zvi of Flamingo Gardens, Ft. Lauderdale, FL, for his generous donation of the navel oranges.

(16) Melander, L.; Saunders, W. H. Reaction Rates of Isotopic Molecules; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1980; pp 91-111.

Acyclic Stereocontrol via an Electron-Transfer Process. Remarkable Stereochemical Difference between Oneand Two-Electron Events

Yoshinori Yamamoto,*† Shinji Nishii,† and Toshiro Ibuka[‡]

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

Received September 8, 1987

Acyclic stereocontrol is of current importance in practical and theoretical organic chemistry.¹ Stereochemistries of nucleophilic and electrophilic reactions have been widely investigated,¹ and their theoretical studies have also been carried out recently.² However, stereochemical study of a radical process in acyclic systems is very rare, although the theoretical prediction has been made.² Furthermore, the acyclic stereochemistry via an electron-transfer process has not yet been investigated systematically.³ We report, for the first time, a surprising stereochemical difference between a nucleophilic process and an electron-transfer process in an acyclic system.

The nucleophilic addition with organometallic compounds, the free-radical addition, and the allylation via photoinduced electron transfer were investigated by using the Michael acceptors (1-4) bearing a chiral center at the γ -position.⁴ The diastereoselectivities are summarized in Table I. Organocopper addition to 1 gave the syn isomer 5a predominantly regardless of the reagent (entries 1-4). On the other hand, other organometallic reagents such as the allyltin and the aluminum ate complex afforded the anti isomer 6a predominantly (entries 5 and 6). The stereochemistries of 5 and 6 were determined unambiguously by comparison with an authentic material (Supplementary Material).⁵

- (2) Houk, K. N.; Paddon-Row, M. N.; Rondan, N. G.; Wu, Y. D.; Brown, F. K.; Spellmeyer, D. C.; Metz, J. T.; Li, Y.; Loncharich, R. J. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1986, 231, 1108.
 (3) Yamamoto, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6411.
- (4) The asymmetric induction in 1 was studied previously. Kruger, D.;



The cuprate addition to 2 again gave the syn adduct 5b predominantly (entries 10 and 12). Quite interestingly, however, the alkylcopper addition to 2 produced the anti adduct 6b predominantly (entries 9 and 11). The same tendency was observed for the organocopper addition to 3 and 4 (entries 13-20).

We anticipated that the marked stereochemical contrast between the organocoppers and other organometallic reagents in the addition to 1 and between the cuprates and alkylcoppers in the addition to 2-4 would be a reflection of the electron-transfer process.⁶ Actually, the photoinduced electron-transfer allylation⁷ of 1 with allyltin gave 5a predominantly (entry 7), although the desired adduct was obtained in very low yield. The radical addition⁸ of BuI to 1 produced 6a preferentially (entry 8), and the similar radical allylation⁸ of 1 with allyl iodide also gave the anti isomer predominantly.

The addition of ordinary organometallics such as allyltin–TiCl₄ and allylsilane-TiCl₄ to 3 gives the anti isomer 6c predominantly,¹ and this stereoselectivity is in good agreement with the selectivity predicted by a modified Felkin or Cram rule. Therefore, the diastereoselection exhibited in the alkylcopper addition to 2-4 as well as the stereoselectivity in entries 5 and 6 fall under the category of the normal selectivity predictable from nucleophilic additions.

The diastereoselectivities of the organocopper addition in the presence of p-dinitrobenzene (pDNB),⁹ which possesses a strong electron acceptor ability, are summarized in Table II. The syn selectivity was changed to the anti selectivity. pDNB presumably accepts an electron from RCu¹⁰ and thus prevents formation of the radical anion of 1 (entries 1 and 3). In these cases, both the anti preference and low conversion were observed. The $R_2CuLi-pDNB$ system also exhibited the anti preference, while the conversion was enhanced (entries 2, 5-7). The reason of this enhancement is not clear,¹¹ but it seems that pDNB again prevents

[†]Tohoku University. [‡]Kyoto University.

⁽¹⁾ For example, see: Yamamoto, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 243 and references cited therein.

Sopchik, A. E.; Kingsbury, C. A. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 778. (5) The stereochemistry determined by us was in agreement with the previous assignment⁴ and made by ¹H NMR. However, the isomer ratio in the addition of Me₂CuLi did not agree (entry 2 vs Table I of ref 4).

^{(6) (}a) House, H. O. Acc. Chem. Res. 1976, 9, 59. (b) Posner, G. H. An

⁽⁹⁾ Yang, D.; Tanner, D. D. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2267. (10) When pDNB was added to a colorless ether solution of Me_2CuLi , the

color immediately changed to dark brown.

⁽¹¹⁾ This interesting observation may be rationalized as follows. $(R_2CuLi)^{*+}$ produced by an electron transfer may react more rapidly and more cleanly with the Michael acceptors than R_2CuLi itself. $(RCu)^{*+}$ might easily decompose to R* and thus lose capability of the conjugate addition. Further aspects are now under active investigation.

entry	sub- strate	reagent, RM	reacn condn ^d	syn/ anti (5/6)	total isold yield, %
1	1	MeCu	$-78 \rightarrow -20, 1.5$	77/23	72
2	1	Me ₂ CuLi	$-78 \rightarrow -20, 1.5$	87/13	73
3	1	BuĈu	$-78 \rightarrow -20, 1.5$	77'/23	89
4	1	Bu ₂ CuLi	$-78 \rightarrow -20, 1.5$	91/9	79
5	1	Me ₄ AlLi	-78 → 0, 2	37/63	75
6	1	$CH_2 = CHCH_2SnBu_3,$ TiCl ₄	-78 → -20, 1.5	29/71	52
7	1	CH ₂ =CHCH ₂ SnBu ₃ , phenanthrene	$h\nu$, rt, ^e 1	70/30	4 ^{<i>b</i>}
8	1	Bul, Bu ₃ SnH, AIBN	toluene, 110, 1	18/82	13°
9	2	MeCu	-78 → -20 , 1.5	38/62	92
10	2	Me ₂ CuLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	62/38	91
11	2	BuĈu	-78 → -20, 1.5	25/75	79
12	2	Bu ₂ CuLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	75/25	68
13	3	MeCu	-78 → -20, 1.5	25/75	93
14	3	Me ₂ CuLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	68/32	87
15	3	BuĈu	-78 → -20, 1.5	31/69	83
16	3	Bu ₂ CuLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	67/33	90
17	4	MeCu	-78 → -20, 1.5	16/84	62
18	4	Me2CuLi•MeLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	60/40	87
19	4	BuCu	-78 → -20, 1.5	14/86	91
20	4	Bu ₂ CuLi	-78 → -20, 1.5	78/22	83

Table I. Diastereoselectivity in the Reaction in $1-4^{a}$

^a All reactions were carried out on 1-mmol scale. The product was isolated through a column of silica gel. The isomer ratio was determined by capillary GLC (SE-30, 25 m) and/or 1H NMR analyses. Three equivalents of the organocopper reagents were used. In entries 5 and 6, a slight excess of RM was added. In entries 7 and 8, 2 equiv of allyltin and BuI were utilized. ^bThe regioisomer of 5a, PhCHMeCH₂CRXY, was obtained as a major product (see ref 7). 'The reaction was not clean, and many unidentified products were obtained along with the desired adduct. ^dTemperatures are given in °C, and time is measured in hours. 'Room temperature.

Table II. Diastereoselectivity in the Presence of pDNB^a

entry	substrate	organo- copper	pDNB (equiv)	syn/anti (5 /6)	total yield, ^b %	
1	1	MeCu	3	33/67	30	
2	1	Me ₂ CuLi	3	33/67	99	
3	1	BuĈu	3	28/72	21	
4	1	Bu ₂ CuLi	3	32/68	79	
5	2	Me ₂ CuLi	1	39/61	99	
6	2	Bu ₂ CuLi	1 °	39/61	99	
7	3	Me ₂ CuLi	1	42/58	99	
8	3	Bu ₂ CuLi	3	34/66	82	

^a To an ether solution of organocoppers (3 mmol) was added pDNB in THF at -78 °C, and then substrates (1 mmol) were added. ^bBy GLC. The starting substrate was recovered when the reaction resulted in low yield. 'pDNB in ether was used.

an electron transfer to the Michael acceptors and the copper species¹¹ reacts with 1-3 instead of their anion radicals.

The difference between RCu and R₂CuLi in 2-4 and the similarity between RCu and R₂CuLi in 1 are in good agreement with the E_{red} values. Both reagents must produce the radical anion of 1, since 1 possesses high ability to accept an electron. When the ability of substrates 2-4 is not so high, only R_2CuLi which possesses higher electron-donating capability must produce the radical anions. Taken together, the syn selectivity can be explained by the intermediacy of the radical anions of Michael acceptors.¹²

The attack angle, θ , of nucleophiles and radicals is greater than 90°,² and thus the steric factor of the outside region becomes important in controlling the selectivity. Therefore, the anti isomer is produced via 7 (a modified Felkin model). On the other hand, in the radical anion intermediate the interaction between the HOMO of substrate and R* group dictates the stereoselectivity.² The electrophilic attack occurs with acute θ , and the inside region of 8 is stereodetermining. In conclusion, the stereochemical results taken as a whole not only provide the first example of acyclic stereocontrol via an electron-transfer process but also demonstrate

(12) The syn selectivity of organocopper addition to 1 may be ascribed to participation of the d-orbital.¹³ However, the difference in 2-4 and the effect of pDNB cannot be explained by this concept.
(13) Corey, E. J.; Boaz, N. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 3063.

a delicate balance of the mechanisms of the organometallic conjugate additions.

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor T. Miyashi of our department for measurement of $E_{\rm red}$ values.

Supplementary Material Available: Experimental details of the structure determination of 5 and 6 (2 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Inorganic Analogues of Langmuir-Blodgett Films: **Adsorption of Ordered Zirconium** 1,10-Decanebisphosphonate Multilayers on Silicon Surfaces

Haiwon Lee, Larry J. Kepley, Hun-Gi Hong, and Thomas E. Mallouk*

> Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712

> > Received August 24, 1987

The preparation of organized monolayer and multilayer molecular assemblies at interfaces continues to be an area of great current interest.¹⁻⁵ Studies of these assemblies have been motivated by their relevance to a variety of heterogeneous phenomena including solid-state microelectronics,⁶ electrochemistry,^{4,7} and catalysis.⁸ One route to the preparation of such structures is via the classical Langmuir-Blodgett monolayer transfer technique;9 this powerful approach unfortunately suffers from several drawbacks, most notably the requirement for planar substrates and a sensitivity to environmental contaminants. Sagiv and coworkers¹⁰ have demonstrated the feasibility of multilaver synthesis via sequential adsorption and chemical activation of chlorosilanes. Their synthetic method is conceptually novel because it does not involve monolayer transfer steps; however, it has failed to yield organized surface structures more than two or three layers thick because of imperfect ordering of alkyl chains within the layers.

We report here a multilayer synthesis based on the sequential adsorption of components of zirconium 1,10-decanebisphosphonate (ZDBP), $Zr(O_3PC_{10}H_{20}PO_3)$. This is a water-insoluble salt which contains alternating polar Zr-O-P and nonpolar alkyl layers, with a 17.3-Å crystallographic layer spacing.¹¹ We selected this compound as a basis for surface multilayer synthesis because it (like many transition-metal phosphonates) spontaneously crystallizes as a layered compound when solutions of the appropriate metal salt and phosphonic acid are mixed. The process of growing ZDBP surface multilayers is shown in Scheme I. In the first step,

Allara, D. L.; Nuzzo, R. G. Langmuir 1985, 1, 45; 1985, 1, 52.
 (2) (a) Maoz, R.; Sagiv, J. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1984, 100, 465. (b) Gun, J.; Iscovici, R.; Sagiv, J. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1984, 101, 201.
 (3) Sabatani, E.; Rubinstein, I.; Maoz, R.; Sagiv, J. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1987, 219, 365.

(4) Porter, M. D.; Bright, T. B.; Allara, D. L.; Chidsey, C. E. D. J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3559 (5) Nuzzo, R. G.; Fusco, F. A.; Allara, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109,

2358.

(6) (a) Roberts, G. G. Adv. Phys. 1985, 34, 475. (b) Broers, A. N.;
Pomerantz, M. Thin Solid Films 1983, 99, 323. (c) Fariss, G; Lando, J.;
Rickert, S. Thin Solid Films 1983, 99, 305.
(7) (a) Finklea, H. O.; Avery, S.; Lynch, M. Langmuir 1987, 3, 409. (b)
Finklea, H. O.; Robinson, L. P.; Blackburn, A.; Richter, B.; Allara, D.; Bright,
T. Langmuir 1986, 2, 239. (c) Li, T. T.-T.; Weaver, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6107.

(8) Somorjai, G. A. Chemistry in Two Dimensions: Surfaces; Cornell

University Press: Ithaca, NY, 1981.
 (9) (a) Blodgett, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1935, 57, 1007. (b) Blodgett,
 K. B.; Langmuir, I. Phys. Rev. 1937, 51, 964.

K. Barginer, L., Sagiv, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 674.
 Dines, M. B.; DiGiacomo, P. M. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20, 92.

0002-7863/88/1510-0618\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society